UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,029	01/16/2007	Sven Hollenbeck	BU-25PCT	4880
40570 FRIEDRICH K	7590 02/17/200 UEFFNER	EXAMINER		
	AVENUE, SUITE 91	CHENEVERT, PAUL A		
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/577,029	HOLLENBECK, SVEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Paul A. Chenevert	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the control of the corresponding amount of the control of the corresponding amount of the corresponding	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			TOL 204)			
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil	•	-			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10.	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/GLENN DAYOAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3612	/Paul A. Chenevert/ Examiner, Art Unit 3612					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that the Kolb et al. "reference does not provide for any direct influence on the top cover by the relief device" (see page 2, line 18). In response to this argument, there is no mention in the claim 11 that the tension device needs to provide 'direct influence' on the top cover. Claim 11 states, "at least one flexible tensioning device is assigned to the roof covering and exerts a tensioning force on marginal regions of the roof covering when the roof is closed" and the Kolb et al. flexible tensioning device does indeed exert a tensioning force on marginal regions of the roof covering when the roof is closed via 'indirect influence'; the tensioning device of Kolb et al. pulls the roof bow downwardly and forwardly and since the roof bow is connected to the top cover, the top cover is 'indirectly influenced' by the tensioning device.

In regards to the argument that the Kolb et al. does not disclose the feature of claim 15 (see page 4, line 23), Kolb et al. does indeed disclose a very small portion (the upper tip) of the flexible tensioning device partially within the sealing lines. Without defining the proportions of the sealing lines, the Examiner interprets the sealing lines as a general area where the convertible roof seals to the vehicle body. There is no definition that the sealing lines "must" be where the elastic profiles 'contact' the vehicle body. Therefore, as shown in the Kolb et al. reference, the upper tip of the tensioning device is located within the general area of the sealing lines.